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In Part I of this paper it was demonstrated that the conceptual relationship of the planet Venus with rain and maize is attested, in its diverse manifestations, all over Mesoamerica in different periods. Some previously unnoticed characteristics of the apparent motion of Venus were described and shown to be relevant in archaeoastronomical research. In the following sections I will explore the origin and the development of the so-called Venus-rain-maize complex, examining the modifications it underwent in their sociohistorical context, and inquiring into its possible observational bases.

1. THE VENUS-RAIN-MAIZE COMPLEX IN A DIACHRONIC PERSPECTIVE

The greater part of the evidence presented in Part I reveals that the Venus-rain-maize complex was associated primarily with the evening aspect of the planet. This prominent place of the evening star was maintained almost throughout the detectable history of the complex; it was obscured only in the very late phases of Mesoamerican cultural evolution, but these changes, it will be argued, probably have an historical explanation.

The Middle Preclassic Chalcatzingo Monument 4 (c. 800 B.C.) may represent the earliest manifestation of the Venus-rain-maize complex known so far. The upper jaguar represented on this relief was interpreted, on iconographic grounds, as pertaining to a group of Olmec deities associated with earth, water, maize and fertility. If the “Venus ear” of this jaguar is indeed a symbol for Venus, comparative evidence from later periods suggests that its most probable referent was the evening star. It may also be added that, according to the famous Covarrubias’s hypothesis, which was partly corroborated by Pasztory, the Olmec jaguar was the common ancestor of later Mesoamerican rain gods which, as the evidence available for at least some of them indicates, were associated with Venus as evening star (infra).

If the evidence for the presence of the Venus-rain-maize complex in the Preclassic is tenuous, it is much more abundant for the Classic period. The cross that frequently appears on the representations of the Teotihuacan rain and fertility deities (“Tlaloc”, “Great Goddess”) was interpreted by Armillas and previously by Seler as a Venus symbol. It is similar to the Maya Kan cross, but it also strongly resembles the Lamat glyph (T510), a Maya sign for Venus, in many instances such as in the murals of Tetitla, Tepantitla and Atetelco and in the head-dress of the god on a Teotihuacan-period roof ornament (almena) from Cinteopa. Both the latter and the so-called “Jade Tlaloc” of Tetitla wear five crosses, which may be a further indication that Venus is implied.
The Venus identity of the Teotihuacan cross is sustained by some iconographic (and/or glyphic) compounds in which it is substituted by the five-pointed star, namely in the so-called star enclosures and in the Tlaloc bigotera emblems (Figure 1). Ellen Baird and John Carlson have recently shown that both full forms and half variants of the five-pointed eyed star, ubiquitous in Teotihuacan iconography and appearing also in Cacaxtla and

Fig. 1. Five-pointed stars and Kan crosses in star enclosures from (a) Cacaxtla, Building A, (b) Teotihuacan, Tetitla Portico 1, and (c) Xochicalco, Stela 1 (from Berlo, op. cit. (ref. 8), Fig. 8).

Fig. 2. Examples of the Highland/Oaxaca style Venus glyph from (a) Codex Fejérváry-Mayer 25, (b) Mitla, Palace IV, (c) Chichén Itzá, Temple of the Jaguars, (d) Codex Vindobonensis 13, and (e) an Aztec stone disc (from Baus C., op. cit. (ref. 13), Figs 3 and 6; drawing by M. Urdapilleta).
in the Maya area, at least in certain contexts represent Venus. The half form of the five-pointed eyed star must have been generically related to the later Highland/Oaxaca style Venus glyph (Figure 2). The latter frequently occurs in the Postclassic iconography in central Mexico, Oaxaca and also Yucatán, but appears already on a Late Classic carved boulder from Maltrata, Veracruz, where it is attached to the underside of a feathered serpent’s body, and also on the Epiclassic murals recently discovered in Substructure III of the Palace at Cacaxtla, Tlaxcala: two painted piers represent a male and a female personage, each with a jaguar-skin kilt to which an outsized five-lobed Venus sign is attached; both figures stand within blue borders adorned with eyed half-stars (Figure 3).

The contexts in which the five-pointed stars are depicted in Teotihuacan iconography are related to water and earth fertility on the one hand, and war and sacrifice on the other. Sacrificial symbolism involving Venus is particularly transparent at Cacaxtla, but in spite of the gruesome imagery these murals also reveal the planet’s association with water and fertility. The male personage mentioned above, who wears a big five-lobed Venus apron and has attributes that identify him as a sacrificial victim, has a “goggled” Tlaloc eye and a scorpion tail (Figure 3). In Maya iconography the scorpion tail is associated both with Venus and with rain and fertility deities. A relief panel of the carved bench throne recently excavated in the 8N-11 Group at
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FIG. 3. Figures on the south and north piers in Substructure III of the Cacaxtla Palace (from Baus C., op. cit. (ref. 13), Figs 1 and 2; drawing by M. Urdapilleta).
Copán, Honduras, represents a young man having a scorpion tail and emerging from the half-variant Lamat (Venus) glyph; the same personage, identified by K. Taube with the young maize god, is depicted, also with a scorpion tail, on a Classic Maya plate, but in this case his body is a full variant of the Lamat glyph. The analogies from the Maya area are certainly relevant for the interpretation of the Cacaxtla murals, considering their overtly “mayanized” iconography.

It seems that the scorpion with his rain-associations was one of the evening manifestations of Venus. A glyph in the second column of the deity names on page 46 of the Dresden Codex probably reads sinan, meaning “scorpion” in Yucatec; the column of the Venus table in which this glyph appears corresponds to the evening star phenomena. It may not be a coincidence that the Scorpion Man of Cacaxtla stands in the western part of the enclosure in which the mural is placed; furthermore, the precinct itself is situated in the western section of the Palace, which may be significant if, as Carlson suggests, this was the actual place for Venus-related human sacrifices, a real “Venus enclosure”, such as the one represented in a stylized way on the Cacaxtla Building A (Figure 1(a)). A similar enclosure is depicted behind the captain of the defeated warriors on the battle mural of Structure B, again on the western talud. In Teotihuacan iconography the five-pointed star is sometimes associated with skulls with prominent teeth; in Maya texts a similar skull is an alternative glyph for Venus, particularly for the evening star.

The foregoing evidence, revealing the association of both fertility and sacrificial symbolism with Venus, particularly with its evening manifestation, is in accordance with what we know about the Maya and their concepts concerning warfare and sacrifice: military exploits, often scheduled to concur with significant Venus phenomena, among which the first appearances of the evening star prevail, appear surrounded by a complex symbolism which is iconographically expressed by a series of Tlaloc-related motifs. This “Tlaloc-Venus” warfare style was introduced among the Maya at some time during the early Classic, evidently inspired by Teotihuacan.

Such a prominent role for Venus in warfare cult and symbolism is not contradictory (as it might appear in view of its association with rain, maize and fertility), but in total agreement with some well-known characteristics of the ancient Mesoamerican world view. Human sacrifices were considered a debt that had to be paid to the gods, an inescapable act for securing rain, agricultural fertility, and the proper functioning of the universe in general. Since the captives obtained in battles were the most common sacrificial victims, the war acquired sacred dimensions and, consequently, the Venus-rain-maize associations became involved in sacrificial symbolism and warfare ritual. We can assume, of course, that the concepts relating fertility with warfare gradually evolved and transformed in their social function, becoming more a justification for raids and conquests than their immediate motive; such religious ideas may well have been fostered by the rulers who exploited them in order to achieve some very secular goals and satisfy their personal...
ambitions. To support this assumption, it can be mentioned that death-war-sacrifice connotations of the Teotihuacan five-pointed star seem to become especially pronounced only in late Classic iconography, although its water and fertility associations are retained. I would therefore suggest that the connections of Venus with war and sacrifice are of a later origin than its rain and maize associations; the latter can be accounted for by some easily observable natural phenomena (see Section 2), whereas the former, having no readily apparent observational base, may be better understood in terms of their sociopolitical context and ideological constraints.

There is some further evidence disclosing a predominant importance of the evening star in the Venus-rain-maize complex among the Classic Maya. The reptilian deity, represented in Mesoamerican art from the Preclassic on, developed in at least two clearly discernible directions: one resulted in the feathered serpent, whose personification became Quetzalcoatl (v. infra), and the other in the Maya bicephalic dragon, a very common representation of the Yucatec god Itzamna. Itzamna’s association with Venus, discussed in Part I, Section 3, K, has been recently supported on iconographic and epigraphic grounds. I have argued that the evening star was more important in this association, because Venus glyphs appear regularly on the western part of the Classic Maya two-headed celestial dragons. It may be added that Venus glyphs also appear on the western façade of the so-called Chenes temple of the House of the Magician at Uxmal. Zoomorphic façades of this type were identified by Thompson with Itzamna; Seler preferred to call them “Quetzalcoatl façades”, but in the light of the close relationship between the two gods this was not exactly a blunder.

Quetzalcoatl, Itzamna’s analogue, was one of the most important and complex Mesoamerican deities. He is known in many different aspects, which probably had independent origins and which, as Pedro Armillas suggested, should be examined separately in order to establish their antiquity and to determine when and how they integrated to compose the complex figure of the sixteenth century. Their association might be a manifestation of a late religious syncretism, although each of them in isolation may be very ancient.

Even if various scholars have offered very diverging interpretations, they do agree on certain important points: many characteristic traits of the deity known in later times as Quetzalcoatl originated in the Gulf Coast area; the feathered serpent symbolized water, clouds, vegetation and fertility; the complex god does not appear until the Late Classic; the peoples from the southern Gulf Coast had an important role in the propagation of the cult; the warrior-hunting aspect of the morning star, personified by Tlahuizcalpanteuctli, was adopted in relatively late periods.

Indeed, the Gulf Coast area, covering the strip of land from Veracruz to the western part of Campeche, seems to have been the focus of development and dispersion of new ideas in various periods. Representations of the feathered serpent are common in the Olmec horizon and some early colonial sources mention the province of Xicalango as the homeland of a particular
serpent species, which has feathers on its head. However, it is not until the Classic period that the feathered serpent appears associated with Venus symbols: on Teotihuacan murals it is frequently adorned with five-pointed stars or with Kan crosses; the Late Classic carved boulder from Maltrata, Veracruz, shows this creature with a Highland/Oaxaca style Venus glyph as a pendant; and the same variants of the symbol are associated with feathered rattlesnakes in the Classic and Epiclassic representations at Acanceh and Chichén Itzá in Yucatán.

The Gulf Coast is also a probable place of origin of the wind god, since Ehecatl of later times is normally represented with Huaxtec attributes and worshipped in round temples, which are particularly common along the Veracruz coast. A considerable antiquity of the wind deity may be indicated by some Preclassic figurines found, for example, at Chalcatzingo and Tlapacoya and having Ehecatl-like beaks. A god with a similar mask appears in Oaxaca during the period Monte Albán I, i.e. before the end of the Preclassic. The same god is represented later, e.g. on a Monte Albán-III-
B urn, decorated with “stellar eyes” (Figure 4) which resemble both the Maya half-variant of the Lamat (Venus) glyph and the symbols on some Postclassic Huaxtec sculptures presumably representing Quetzalcoatl and Xolotl. 35

It seems, then, that both the wind god, a prototype of Quetzalcoatl-Ehecatl, and the feathered serpent were associated with Venus by the Classic, although at that time the two aspects of the later Quetzalcoatl had not yet merged.

An important period in the development of Mesoamerican cultures is the so-called Epiclassic or Terminal Classic (A.D. 700–900), characterized by a strong interaction between central Mexico and the Maya area. During this period, again, the Gulf Coast had a fundamental role. The enigmatic Olmeca-Xicallanca, who at this time appear as a dominating force in central Mexican highlands, probably played an important role in the Teotihuacan culture, but they were undoubtedly of a coastal origin. 36 Recalling Quetzalcoatl’s culture hero aspect, it might be significant that various chroniclers mention the Olmeca-Xicallanca as the first civilized nation. 37 Quetzalcoatl is associated primarily with the Toltecs, but also with the Olmeca-Xicallanca who are occasionally identified with the former. 38 Sahagún mentions that the Olmeca, Uixtotin and Mixteca were great craftsmen and merchants, deriving from the east, and that they were considered children of Quetzalcoatl. 39 It is evident, then, that the people explicitly associated with Quetzalcoatl had strong connections with the Gulf Coast. Chadwick’s opinion that the predecessors of pochteca merchants in the Postclassic central Mexican society were actually the Olmeca-Xicallanca agrees with the hypothesis of Acosta Saigines, who argued for their non-Aztec ethnic origin; indeed, many cultural peculiarities relate this group with the Coast, among them the worship of Quetzalcoatl. 40 Recall that the cult was very popular in Cholula, a merchant city par excellence. A famous trade route led through Tochtepec to Xicalango and Acallan in the Gulf area, i.e. to the big ports of trade at which luxury articles from many parts of Mesoamerica accumulated. The names of the groups involved need not concern us here, but it is a matter of fact that the Mexica incorporated into their political and economic system the existent commercial organization, in which the civilized peoples, with roots in the Mesoamerican Classic, had a distinguished place. They must have been composed of diverse ethnic groups, but they all heavily gravitated toward the Gulf Coast. Most of early colonial sources identify the splendid pre-Aztec civilization with the Toltecs, but it should not be overlooked that the founders of the Tollan Xicocotitlan empire were not ethnically homogeneous: the so-called Tolteca-Chichimeca came from the Northwest, but the authentic Toltecs, the real Kulturvolk, as Davies says, were those arriving from the opposite direction — the Nonoalca. 41 That is, the Toltec state, as well, incorporated the heirs of the former civilization, benefiting from their arts, knowledge and commercial contacts with the regions of abundance, natural riches and prized products. The cult of the “Toltec” god Quetzalcoatl can be associated precisely with the Nonoalca; since they probably passed
along the Huaxteca, it is there that the fusion with Ehecatl may have taken place. Late central Mexican myths in which Quetzalcoatl as a civilizing hero comes from the East may reflect these Epiclassic migrations, as well as oriental provenance of the goods which symbolized civilized life.\(^4^2\)

Two central Mexican Epiclassic sites with very clearly discernible "mayanized" iconographic features are Xochicalco and Cacaxtla. Sáenz identified the personages on Stelae 1 and 3 of Xochicalco with Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli, Venus as morning star, on the grounds of their resemblance to the representations at Tula and Chichén Itzá (Figure 5).\(^4^3\) According to C. Klein, however, the \textit{en face} representations of the Venus god (like those on the Xochicalco stelae) refer exclusively to the evening aspect of Venus. Furthermore, Stela 3 of Xochicalco contains a date 4 Ollin, which may\(^4^4\) refer to the sun or to Xolotl, Venus as evening star.\(^4^5\) Davies contends that Quetzalcoatl appears on the stelae of Xochicalco as Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli and not as Ehecatl,\(^4^6\) but it should be noted that the date 1 Acatl, so characteristic of Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli, does not appear at all on Xochicalco monuments, whereas we do find several examples of the date 9 Ehecatl, which in later times is known to have been a common designation of Quetzalcoatl as Ehecatl.\(^4^7\) The dates 9 Ehecatl appear clearly associated with the feathered
serpent. The same date, as well as the plumed serpent, is also found on the Cacaxtla murals, where the date 1 Acatl is, again, absent. These circumstances indicate that Ehecatl and the feathered serpent had probably merged by the Epiclassic. As already discussed in the context of Teotihuacan iconography, Venus signs depicted at Cacaxtla most probably relate to the evening star, and it will be shown that the same association can be suggested for Ehecatl.

While the intrusion of Mayan cultural elements characterizes the Epiclassic in central Mexico, the contemporaneous Maya culture exhibits evident traits of “mexicanization”, which has been attributed, again, to the expansion of ideas and people from the zone between the Xicalango region, in southwestern Campeche, and southern Veracruz, but in this context the bearers of the new style and “spirit” of time have been named the Putun or Maya-Chontal.48

At the Epiclassic Chicben Itzá there are several representations of warriors with the Highland/Oaxaca style Venus glyphs attached to their heads or skirts. Their non-Maya facial features, hair-style and nose ornaments strongly resemble those of the intrusive foreigners represented on late stelae at Seibal and generally recognized as the mexicanized Chontal Maya of the Gulf Coast (Figure 5).49 The “star warriors” of this kind are — as has been argued in relation with the Cacaxtla pair of figures wearing “Venus skirts” (Figure 3) — a manifestation of a complex sacrificial, warfare and fertility symbolism associated with Venus as evening star. It may be significant that the warriors with Venus skirts represented in the reliefs at Chicben Itzá appear almost exclusively on the west-facing carved panels.50

Regarding Quetzalcoatl’s association with Venus, Pollock, citing a study by Thompson, pointed out that in central Mexico various contexts associate this god with the east, but Quetzalcoatl-Ehecatl as sky-bearer is related with the west.51 The apparent anomaly can be accounted for by the fact that there were two concepts embodied in the figure of Quetzalcoatl at the time of the Conquest: the one of creator god and the other of culture hero.

In his second aspect the god retained many of his old attributes, but acquired new ones, one of these being his association with the morning star. The Codex Chimalpopoca clearly tells of his apotheosis, or metamorphosis to Tlauizcalpantecutli ... he bears the name Ce Acatl.... Ce Acatl is also the sign of Tlauizcalpantecutli, the morning star, and there is no doubt that the later conception of Quetzalcoatl identified him with that deity and with the east.52

Some sources clearly distinguish between the two aspects, mentioning the wind god and creator named Quetzalcoatl or Ehecatl on the one hand, and the culture hero personified by the Toltec ruler Ce Acatl, Topiltzin or Nacxit on the other.53 It is the latter that is transformed into the Morning Star. The deity worshipped in round temples, however, must have been Quetzalcoatl-Ehecatl, considering that some sources explicitly relate the circular form of these buildings to the characteristics of air or wind.54 Some of these temples
are oriented to the extremes of Venus as evening star, which confirms the above-mentioned links of Quetzalcoatl-Ehecatl with Venus and agrees with the association of this deity with the west.

Pollock presented much evidence indicating that round temples dedicated to Quetzalcoatl must have been of a coastal origin: Quetzalcoatl-Ehecatl is normally represented with Huaxtec attires; the cult of this god was probably very important among the Totonac; round temples may have been inspired by the circular form of houses, which seems to have been common on the Veracruz coast; in central Mexico there are no prototypes of round temples (with the exception of Cuicuilco, but the gap of various centuries, separating it from the Quetzalcoatl temples, makes the continuity improbable); in the Maya area round temples do not appear until the first “Mexican” influences. Also relevant is the following observation: the myths in central Mexico say that Quetzalcoatl came from the east and departed toward the east, whereas according to the reports from Yucatán he arrived from the west and also departed in that direction; these legends, which probably reflect migrations from the Gulf regions, are corroborated by the disposition of round temples, since in the Yucatán peninsula they all have stairways on the western side, while in central Mexico and in the Totonac area they face east. It may be added that the Gulf Coast origin of Ehecatl is confirmed by his representation on the Epiclassic Stela 19 at Seibal, one of the sites that most clearly display intrusions from that area; among many foreign cultural features dated to the Terminal Classic there is also a circular temple.

The lower platform of the Caracol at Chichén Itzá, oriented to the maximum northerly extreme of Venus as evening star, was built during the Terminal Classic, probably in the mid-ninth century. It belongs to the period when iconographic elements of non-Maya origin begin to appear at various Maya sites. In the northwestern part of the Yucatán peninsula this is the time of the florescent Puuc architectural style, of which the Governor’s Palace at Uxmal is one of the most splendid specimens; it is not much later than the early phase of the Caracol of Chichén, and is also oriented to the maximum northerly extreme of the evening star. Various traits found at the Puuc sites suggest a foreign impact coming from the Gulf area. The phallic cult, attested at this time, is known to have been popular in the Veracruz regions; according to the books of Chilam Balam, erotic practices and “shameful things” were introduced by the Itzá, whom Thompson identified with the Putun-Maya. Also known are phallic attributes of Quetzalcoatl-Kukulcan, whose worship must have been propagated at the same time. Feathered serpents represented at Uxmal are another foreign element, probably reflecting the newly introduced cult, otherwise indicated by the round temples; the association of the Uxmal feathered serpents with Venus is suggested by the fact that many of them appear in groups of eight (on the Governor’s Palace and on the East Wing of Nunnery).

The orientations to the evening star extremes, which delimit the rainy season and agricultural cycle, represent a new manifestation of the Venus-rain-maize complex, embedded in the novel version of the cult of
Quetzalcoatl, who now integrated both feathered serpent and wind god aspects. The peoples from the Gulf Coast area (the Putun-Olmeca-Xicallanca), where the new religion, not present until the Terminal Classic, must have originated, were responsible for its propagation in many parts of Mesoamerica. Round temples, coming into vogue at this time, continued to be constructed during the Postclassic, and some of them were oriented to Venus extremes (the tower of the Chichén Caracol, El Circular-sub of Huexotla and probably the Castillo of Paalmul). Beside the circular structures and the Governor's Palace at Uxmal, some other Epiclassic buildings in the Chenes area of the Yucatán peninsula may have been oriented to Venus extremes (Santa Rosa Xtampak, Nocuchich). Temple 22 at Copán, Honduras, which also possesses alignments relative to Venus as evening star, is not only roughly contemporaneous but also stylistically similar, since its doorway represents the open jaws of a monster, which is a characteristic trait of the Chenes buildings.

Before considering the changes during the Postclassic, let us briefly examine some facts about the ritual ballgame, since the promulgation of the Quetzalcoatl cult appears to be accompanied by the diffusion of ball courts.

The Mesoamerican ballgame originates in the Preclassic, probably in the Gulf Coast area, where the rubber is available. The popularity and dissemination of the practice culminates in the Classic period, especially during its late phases. It is in the Gulf area where the vestiges of the ballgame, as a ceremonial activity involved in vegetation and fertility rites, are particularly abundant. The inhabitants of the region were merchants par excellence, and the ballgame cult must have spread as an accompanying feature of the cacao trade, together with militaristic traits which in the Maya area are normally described as "mexicanized" or originating from the Gulf Coast. The frescoes recently discovered in the Templo Rojo at Cacaxtla seem to support these affirmations: on the east wall of the stairway leading to the temple, the Maya God L or his impersonator is depicted as an armed merchant framed by a feathered serpent and standing in front of a cacao tree; one of the objects tied to his cacaxtli or backpack has been interpreted as a newly-prepared egg-shaped rubber ball.

In view of the observed parallelisms in the evolution and expansion of the ritual ballgame and the Quetzalcoatl cult, it seems that we are dealing with two aspects or parts of a single religious complex, whose bearers were the traders from the Gulf Coast. It is known that the ballgame involved a complex symbolism related with the agricultural cycle and fertility, on the one hand, and with Venus (and other celestial bodies), on the other. Quetzalcoatl had very similar connotations; his connections with trade may have been secondary, explicable by the most characteristic activity of those who were diffusers of his worship. Quetzalcoatl is mentioned in the ballgame context in the Atamalcualiztli hymn and in other myths, and is probably represented in the Chichén Itzá and El Tajín ball courts. More explicitly, the patron of the ballgame was Xolotl, i.e. the evening star variant of Quetzalcoatl. Consequently, if the ballgame, as a ceremonial activity associ-
ated with the Quetzalcoatl cult, was also governed by the evening star, this confirms the above-mentioned priority of the evening manifestation of the planet in the Venus-rain-maize complex.

At this point it seems fair to call attention to the fact that the idea of relating both Quetzalcoatl and Itzamna with Venus as evening star is not entirely new; Walter Lehmann reached the same conclusion many years ago, though on different grounds. He argued that Quetzalcoatl is manifested in two basic forms corresponding to two different Toltec cultures: the earlier one is referred to in myths that stress the absence of human sacrifices, while the later Quetzalcoatl appears as a victorious warrior associated with Venus as morning star and equivalent to Kukulcan, who introduces the practice of human sacrifices in Yucatán. The earlier version of Quetzalcoatl is related with the crescent moon and with Venus as evening star, in accordance with the importance of the west in cosmological systems of lunar type, whereas the later association with the morning star is a characteristic of a lunisolar system. As Itzamna was associated with the west and his worship in Yucatán was replaced by the cult of Kukulcan as morning star, Lehmann concludes that Itzamna was also linked to the moon and Venus as evening star.74

It is obvious that many details of Lehmann’s argument, not fully presented here, can no longer be sustained. Even if the legends may, indeed, reflect the escalation of violence and militarism during the Epiclassic and Postclassic, they are nonetheless much idealized: war and human sacrifice were common in Mesoamerica since remote times. These comments notwithstanding, the importance of the moon may have, indeed, contributed to the prominence of the evening aspect of Venus. In effect, the lunar count, only vaguely connected with the tropical year, in terms of general evolution antedates the calendars which try to establish a more accurate correspondence with the solar year.75 Specifically, the complex Mesoamerican calendric system may have been preceded by a calendar of a lunar type, consisting of 12 or 13 lunar months accommodated in the tropical year.76 In strictly lunar calendars the beginning of each month is determined by the first visibility of the crescent moon after conjunction, which normally results in that the days begin at sunset,77 and it is interesting that some indigenous groups in Guatemala still begin each new day at sunset, which may be a survival from prehispanic times.78 If so, the origin of this practice must be very ancient indeed, as the lunisolar rather than lunar calendars were used in Mesoamerica as early as the Preclassic. Nevertheless, even in later periods the moon definitely had an enormous importance, particularly among the Maya;79 in view of their evident attempts at correlating Venus and moon cycles,80 and considering that the most natural starting point of the lunar cycle is the first visibility of the crescent moon in the west,81 it is possible that the Venus calendar, such as the one in the Dresden Codex, originally began with the first appearance of the evening star, as Lehmann suggested.82

Even if this argument has no direct relevance for the discussion on the Venus identity of Quetzalcoatl and Itzamna, it does seem significant that Lehmann, as early as half a century ago, recognized the importance of the
evening star. Until quite recently it was generally believed that the ancient Mesoamericans paid almost no attention to the evening manifestation of Venus; this common assumption was largely based on a few early colonial reports, which mostly refer only to the Conquest-period central Mexican cultures, but admittedly seem quite explicit in emphasizing the significance of Venus as morning star, particularly in relation with Quetzalcoatl. The evidence examined so far, however, clearly reveals the primacy of the evening aspect of the planet: both the Venus-rain-maize complex and the related sacrificial and warfare symbolism, as well as the deities involved, were associated primarily with the evening star. Since this holds true also for Quetzalcoatl, why do so many sources relate him to the morning aspect of Venus? If a shift really occurred, as Lehmann supposed, how can we explain it?

Various scholars have observed that the characteristics of Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli, Lord of the Dawn, who is iconographically identical to Mixcoatl, god of war and hunting, notably differ from those of Quetzalcoatl-Ehecatl. Defining his Mixcoatl-Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli complex of deities, Nicholson says they shared a number of features with Huitzilopochtli and "symbolized the earlier hunting-gathering, 'Chichimec' life way". According to Jiménez Moreno, celestial deities like Mixcoatl and Huitzilopochtli characterized the religion of the nomads who invaded central Mexico after the fall of Tula and whose gods became a superstructure in the newly formed religious syncretism.

Indeed, the representations of the so-called Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli at Tula probably do not refer to the God of the Morning Star. A human head frequently appears in the jaws of the front head of the Maya celestial monsters; Kelley suggests that this motif may have been the prototype of the Toltec figure identified by Sáenz with Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli. If so, the morning star association cannot be sustained, since the celestial monsters' front heads relate to the west. Furthermore, it has been argued that the deities of Venus as morning star are never represented en face, and thus the so-called Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli figures of Tula and Chichén Itzá may only refer to the evening star or to some other chthonic deity (Figure 5).

This evidence supports the opinion that Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli-type deities, with the emphasis on the worship of Venus as morning star, had not come into vogue until after the fall of Tula, when the invasions of the northern Chichimec tribes of the Uto-Aztecan linguistic stock provoked not only political realignments in central Mexico but also important changes in religion and world view.

David Kelley showed, on linguistic and ethnographic grounds, that the ancient Uto-Aztecan peoples had two principal and interrelated deities: a savage canid, probably a coyote, and a god of hunting. Both were associated with Venus as morning star, fire and, occasionally, with agriculture. In Uto-Aztecan mythology the coyote is the erotic trickster and culture hero. According to Kelley, the Uto-Aztecan association of coyote + elder brother + creator + trickster + culture hero + morning star blended with the
Mesoamerican concept of creator + giant serpent + rain. But the fusion had not resulted in a coherent deity, as the distinction between Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli and Quetzalcoatl is normally quite clear.

When Quetzalcoatl acquired new attributes, we can imagine that Venus as morning star became dominant also in the beliefs concerning rain and maize. Likewise, the transference of the emphasis on the morning aspect of the planet probably affected other deities connected with Venus, rain and maize, e.g. Cinteotl. However, even if the morning star has a dominant position in various manifestations of the Venus-rain-maize complex, the evidence of its relationship with rain and maize is not so decisive. Xulab, the morning star god of the Kekchi and Mopan Maya, is patron of agriculture, hunting and fishing, but “particularly the last two”. Mixcoatl and gods of hunting among the Cora and Huichol are also identified with Venus as morning star. It may also be significant that Quetzalcoatl neither creates the maize nor provides it for mankind; he only discovers it. It is Nanahuatl, the evening star, who opens the mountain. The relationship between Xulab and Yaluk in the Kekchi myths is comparable. In Cora mythology the Morning Star kills the water serpent to prevent the flood, and similar stories were found among the Lacandones and other groups; it seems that Venus as morning star features as a deity who controls the rain, but does not produce it.

Granted that the importance of Venus as morning star in the beliefs about rain and maize derives from the population movements at the beginning of the Late Postclassic, another problem remains to be solved. Since the evidence presented in Part I suggests that these concepts were relatively common at the time of the Conquest, it must be postulated that in a few centuries they spread into various parts of Mesoamerica. Indeed, the Late Postclassic is characterized by movements of people and ideas on a large scale. Some late murals of Tulum and Santa Rita, on the Caribbean Coast of the Yucatán peninsula, belong to this period: having few Maya traits, they rather resemble central Mexican and Oaxaca styles. This International Style of the Late Postclassic, as Robertson called it, is also exhibited in the murals of Iximche in Guatemala. The presence of strangers in the Late Postclassic Tulum is reflected in mural painting, architecture and ceramics; even the settlement pattern of the latest phase of Tulum is not Mayan, but rather of a central Mexican type.

The ultimate origin of these influences, which may have included the worship of Venus as morning star, is to be sought in central Mexico. Miller identifies the foreigners, whose presence is attested at Tulum after A.D. 1400, with the nahuatized Putun-Maya, probably connected with the Aztec trade system and involved in their expansionist policy: the diffusion of central Mexican cultural traits, based on the pochteca commercial system, may have been a prelude to an actual takeover. The same conclusion was reached by Navarrete: discussing the Late Postclassic Mexican influences in the southern Maya area, he places their focus at Tenochtitlan, centre of the empire which possessed the military control of trade and communication routes as far as the Soconusco area in southern Chiapas.
... it seems we are facing a phenomenon of the mexicanization of the Maya people, as a preparatory preamble to a more violent intervention ... attempts at what in our days is termed cultural colonization on the part of someone who exports economic ambitions, and along with them imposes his way of life, his gods, his myths and his philosophy.\textsuperscript{104}

In view of this evidence the relationship of Venus as morning star with rain and maize should be considered secondary: it was a consequence of a relatively late fusion of two religious traditions. The new concepts spread to various parts of Mesoamerica, but they could not totally obscure the superiority of the evening star in the Venus-rain-maize complex.

2. POSSIBLE OBSERVATIONAL BASES OF THE VENUS-RAIN-MAIZE COMPLEX

It is more than probable that the pan-Mesoamerican beliefs relating Venus with rain, maize and fertility have some real observational basis. To the modern man of the urban twentieth-century civilization, diverse conceptual associations found in other cultures may appear, at a first glance, incomprehensible and illogical, since in many cases they do not establish correct relations of cause and effect in terms of modern scientific reasoning. However, the possibility that they do reflect the observation of natural phenomena should never be discarded. Cyclic changes in the nature are manifested in innumerable observable phenomena coinciding in time and space. The perception of these coincidences, many of which are peculiar to a particular habitat and far from obvious to a stranger, is reflected in specific mental constructs which compose a particular world view.

Understanding the symbolism of a culture often begins by bearing witness to the complex behavior of the things and phenomena of that segment of the world view we call “natural”. For Maya symbolism specifically, this means we are obligated to know the life cycle of the toad, the stingless bee, and the maize plant, to name but a few of the entities that we, in our unfortunate wisdom, separate from the rest of nature and relegate to the zoological and botanical realms. We must also be able to follow the course of the sun, the stars, and the intricate movement of Venus, matters that we choose to label astronomy.\textsuperscript{105}

The following arguments show that the apparent motion of Venus does have some characteristics that may account for the outstanding place of the planet in the beliefs concerning rain and maize.

(1) As suggested by Dütting and Graulich, Venus, during its disappearance period around inferior conjunction and before the morning star’s heliacal rise, may have been associated with the sown maize seed which remains invisible for a comparable lapse of time before the new plant is born.\textsuperscript{106} The canonical period of Venus visibility around inferior conjunction in the Venus table of the Dresden Codex is 8 days, which is, in effect, the average disap-
pearance interval in Mesoamerican latitudes. This may be significant, as the Maya maize god was patron of the numeral 8; but on the other hand, this association may have been derived from the 8-year cycle equivalent to 5 synodic periods of Venus.

(2) Venus as both morning or evening star is visible, on the average, for 263 days. Since the agricultural cycle in various parts of Mesoamerica also encompasses some 260 days, this correspondence may have motivated the association of Venus with maize and, by extension, with rain.

(3) Iwaniszewski has suggested that the concepts concerning death and rebirth, reinforced by the development of agriculture, became correlated with Venus's setting. This correlation, however, refers rather to both aspects of the planet: Venus “dies” in the west, but is “reborn” in the east.

(4) Venus's attributes may represent an extension of lunar symbolism. All over the world, including Mesoamerica, the moon is associated with water, vegetation and fertility. Among the Mixe-Popoluca and Cora the moon has its house in the West, probably because after conjunction it is first visible in the western sky. Lunar symbolism may thus have been transferred or extended both to western side of the universe and to Venus as evening star. It is known that Mesoamerican deities associated with the west were linked to water and maize, and that the crescent moon and Venus as evening star were related concepts, as well as the waning moon and the morning star.

(5) As already shown in Part I, Section 1, the extremes of Venus exhibit a permanent concomitance with seasons of the year. Particularly interesting are the evening star extremes: occurring between April and June (northerly extremes) and between October and December (southerly extremes), they approximately coincide with the onset and the end of the rainy season in Mesoamerica. Some quite explicit data indicate that these coincidences were, indeed, perceived. Accurate observations must have revealed that one northerly/southerly extreme in each 8-year cycle is greater than others; the importance of these maximum extremes, attested in architectural alignments, can be accounted for by the fact that they always occur around 3 May/November and thus delimit the rainy season more exactly than other extremes (Figure 6). In some regions of Mesoamerica, e.g. in the Maya Lowlands, the maximum extremes also approximately coincide with the planting and harvesting season.

The associations of Venus with rain and maize may have been motivated by a combination of observational facts set out above, and perhaps by some that have not been recognized. Yet the decisive factors must have been the Venus-moon conceptual relationship (4) and Venus extremes (5). The following argument supports this conclusion:
Fig. 6. Zones of the horizon where Venus visible as morning/evening star rises/sets, compared to the sunrise and sunset zones in Mesoamerican latitudes; note the asymmetry in magnitude of the maximum extremes visible in the east and west. The view is from the sky upon an imaginary observer at the centre. While all Venus extremes are seasonally fixed, those of the evening star are particularly interesting, because they approximately delimit the rainy season in Mesoamerica (drawing by Snežana Hvala-Tecco).

(a) the fusion or mutual transference of the concepts related with the moon and Venus, as well as the observation of the extremes and/or of the movement of Venus as evening star along the horizon, are documented by the evidence presented in Part I, Section 3 (units B, G, I and N);

(b) the rainy season, conditioning the agricultural cycle, is a seasonal phenomenon, while the only Venus phenomena maintaining the concordance with the seasons seem to be the extremes;

(c) the moon’s association with water, vegetation and fertility is universal; the fact that Venus as evening star is also associated with fertility in many cultures of the world might be accounted for by the extension of lunar symbolism to the evening manifestation of the planet, because both the moon and the evening star “rise” in the west;

(d) the evening star extremes concur with important annual climatic changes in various parts of the world, where the association of Venus as evening star with fertility was documented;

(e) circumstances (1), (2) and (3) may have contributed to or reinforced the Venus-rain-maize association, but they do not explain the importance of the evening star in these concepts.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

The Venus-rain-maize complex, like any other cultural trait, was a phenomenon intimately related with other aspects of Mesoamerican cultures. The comprehension of its characteristics can therefore contribute to a general understanding of the societies involved. Since the modifications detected in the development of the Venus-rain-maize complex reflect certain sociocultural changes, this research may have some general implications for the understanding of historical and evolutionary processes in Mesoamerica.

The formation and the basic characteristics of the Venus-rain-maize complex can be explained in terms of the observation of nature. The apparently invariable and perfect celestial order is universally considered as superior to the human order; hence the notions about the sky events determining terrestrial affairs. It has been shown that Venus extremes are seasonally fixed phenomena; especially interesting are the evening star extremes, not only because they are greater than those visible on the eastern horizon, but particularly because they herald the onset and the end of the rainy season in Mesoamerica. The perception of these coincidences probably resulted in Venus as evening star coming to be conceived as one of the agents responsible for the timely occurrences of two crucial annual climatic changes, which conditioned, as they still do, a proper development of the agricultural cycle. Another fact motivating the rain and maize symbolism of Venus as evening star must have been the association of the west side of the universe with the moon, whose relationship with water and fertility is worldwide.

The association of Venus as evening star with fertility symbolism seems to be common in agricultural societies on quite different levels of complexity. It is therefore probable that the Venus-rain-maize complex in Mesoamerica began to develop already at an early stage of the evolution of agriculture. Some archaeological data, though not unambiguous, indicate that these concepts may have been incorporated into the Mesoamerican world view by the Middle Preclassic, but it is from the Classic onwards that their presence can be considered as firmly established. At some time the Venus-rain-maize complex became closely connected with sacrificial and warfare symbolism, probably as a consequence of certain ideological substantiations, commonly effected by the ruling class in stratified societies. The evidence examined suggests that the evening star had a leading importance in the Venus-rain-maize complex and that the morning star ascended in rank only in the Late Postclassic, when the population movements brought to central Mexico new religious ideas (many of them characteristic of hunting and gathering societies) that had their ultimate origin to the north.

In Aztec society Venus was associated with numerous deities. Similar gods represented different aspects of the same symbolism. Some of them may have originated within different historical traditions, occupying comparable positions, but with time they were incorporated in a single religious complex in which each was attributed a specific function. In some cases it is evident that a coherent union of ancient and recently arrived deities and concepts...
had not been achieved by the time of the Conquest. Nevertheless, the overlap of the characteristics of various gods in a religious complex is not always and not only a consequence of converging historical traditions. Since various phenomena observable in nature coincide in time and/or space, or apparently condition each other, it is natural that the deities related to them reflect the observed correlations. For example, the setting sun, the crescent moon and Venus as evening star share the same side of the sky, and thus it is understandable that they were conceptually fused. On the other hand, different gods associated with Venus may have represented distinct segments of the planet's synodic period which symbolized the concomitant natural phenomena (e.g. the autumnal appearances of the evening star toward the south may have been linked to the end of the rainy season and the harvest).

According to Thompson, the practitioners of the Venus cult were the upper social classes. However, ethnographic evidence presented in Part I suggests that the beliefs and rites involved in the Venus-rain-maize complex could not have been an exclusive domain of the élite, since they persist in present-day rural communities. For the perception of the phenomena that probably motivated these concepts no sophisticated methods or tools are required: the apparent motion of the moon and its phases are well known to the modern peasants; also easily observable is the parallelism between the movement of Venus with regard to the horizon and the periodic climatic changes. On the other hand, to establish the exact magnitude and dates of the extremes, their periodicity, the exact length of the synodic period and of the visibility and invisibility intervals, much more accurate and time-consuming observations are necessary, as well as recording devices that permit the storage and accumulation of data (writing, calendar, etc.). This knowledge was undoubtedly a privilege of the ruling class and was, indeed, lost soon after the Conquest, when the indigenous social system was decapitated.

The basic ideas of the Venus-rain-maize complex could have originated in egalitarian societies, but been developed later and complemented with more advanced knowledge achieved by specialists within complex social systems. Astronomical knowledge about Venus does not offer an adaptive advantage to societies that possess it, since the prediction of natural changes that coincide with Venus phenomena is much easier on the basis of observations of the sun and stars. How, then, can we explain the presence of this sophisticated but apparently useless knowledge in Mesoamerica?

The Venus-rain-maize complex was part of the world view. In complex societies such beliefs are incorporated into the ideology of the governing class which pretends to be responsible of the proper functioning of the universe; the natural order is conditioned by the cult and orderly ritual performances. Possibly the role of Venus in the beliefs about rain and maize dictated certain ceremonial acts that served as instruments of domination. The prediction of astronomical events and the astrological services contributed to the legitimation of power. But on the other hand, the attention that the ancient Mesoamericans dedicated to the planet Venus can be attributed to some additional, quite different motives:
... agrarian states also often develop esoteric knowledge which has no direct social relevance for the masses or for the management of the social system, but the possession of which is defined as a criteria for high rank: for example, the requirement of Confucian knowledge for those seeking bureaucratic posts in Imperial China, the Latin requirement in English boys' public schools in the nineteenth century, the privileges of the mantric knowledge of the Brahmins of India, and the need for the Aztec elites to inform their offspring of the celestial wanderings of the morning star.... These people neither produced food, nor served directly to make the social system run smoothly. Often, history tells us, they worked in their own devious paths simply to satisfy their own hunger for knowledge for knowledge's sake.127
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